From Basu-Harvey to Nahm equation via 3-Lie bialgebra

M.Aali-Javanangrouh[1,*] and A. Rezaei-Aghdam[1,†]

[1]*Department of Physics, Faculty of science, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University 53714-161, Tabriz, Iran*

(Dated: April 19, 2016)

Using the concept of 3-Lie bialgebra; we construct the Bagger- Lambert- Gustavson (BLG) model on the Manin triple $\mathcal{D}$ of the special 3-Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*})$ which is in correspondence with Manin triple of Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$. Then we have obtained the Basu-Harvey equation (with 3-Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}$) by studying the boundary condition of this BLG model. We have shown that the Nahm equation (with Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$) can be obtained from this Basu-Harvey equation and vice versa.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1604.05181v1 [hep-th] 18 Apr 2016

String theory which has been suggested for unification of forces, contains 5 different branches that are interconnected through duality. Then one can conclude that there is a larger theory named M-theory, the little knowledge about M-theory has been obtained by expanding string theory [1]. One of these works that connect Nahm [2] to Basu-Harvey equations has been suggested by Bausu and Harvey [3]. Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action will be expanded into the $U(N)$ Yang-Mills action [4] by considering it as a generalized action describing N Dp-brane. Nahm equation holds as boundary condition by considering D1-string ending D3-brane if you variate the DBI action of D1-string as coupled magnetic source to dual gauge field on D3-brane [5]. In this way, the boundary condition on the background equipped with NS-field have follows form:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\partial_\sigma X^i(\sigma=0)=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}F^{jk}(x^1,x^2,x^3),\ i,j,k=1,2,3, \tag{1}$$

where x^is are coordinates of D1-string ending to D3-brane and X^Is that $I=1,2,...,8$ are scalar fields of D1-string on the 10 dimensional space. Now, consider N separated D1-strings ending on the same D3-brane then one would have a copy of (1) for each of the D1-strings with the same $F^{jk}(x^1,x^2,x^3)$, so that X^is would be $N\times N$ matrix scalar fields. In order to find matrix representation of $F^{jk}(x^1,x^2,x^3)$ one can write the DBI action for D3-brane and consider X^i as transverse coordinates of it, then $F^{ij}=\frac{i}{(2\pi\alpha')^2}[X^i,X^j]$, i.e. the boundary condition for D1-string is the Nahm equation as follows [2]:

$$\partial_\sigma X^i=\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^i_{jk}[X^j,X^k]. \tag{2}$$

When the gauge and fermion fields transformations [6, 7] vanish, the Nahm equation is obtained as a BPS bound state of the system. In order to increase information about string theory, one of the transverse scalar fields is usually excited; so that there would be N D1-string or a protruding spike up to infinity and therefore the energy would be infinite in that direction. By this way and doing some calculations one can see that the energy of the system have the following form:

$$E\ \geq\ \frac{1}{2g^2_{YM}}\int d\sigma\epsilon_{IJK}\partial_\sigma X^I[X^J,X^K], \tag{3}$$

that is, the minimum energy for this system is Nahm equation. The Nahm equation describes a monopole [3] if σ is the distance between the D1-strings and D3-brane then one can assume a fuzzy funnel S^2 in the intersection of D1-string and D3-brane. According to the enhancement of two dimension (relevant to the world sheet of the D1-string) to three (relevant to the world volume of the M2-brane), if fuzzy S^2 is enhanced to a fuzzy S^3 then Lie bracket enhances to a 3-Lie bracket according to the 3-Lie algebra appeared and the Lie bracket in (2) changes as follows [3]:

$$\frac{dX^i}{dx^2}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^i_{jkl}[X^j,X^k,X^l], \tag{4}$$

this means a system of multiple M2-branes ending M5-brane guessed by Basu and Harvey [3]. Relation between Nahm and Basu-Harvey equations have been studied in [6] in terms of relation between fuzzy S^2 and S^3, but the discussion is mostly based on the guess in Ref. [3].

Bagger and Lambert [8] and simultaneously Gustavsson [9] proposed a method for writing a Lagrangian with maximal supersymmetry $N=8$ by using 3-bracket [10] inspired by Basu-Harvey work. Their work has two defects, firstly, it only describes two M2-brane [11] and secondly, there is only one example for it A_4 [10], that have been removed by decreasing the number of supersmmetry to $N=6$ [12] and considering Lorentzian metric [13], respectively. There is a relation between M2-brane (BLG model) and D2-brane [26] (Yang-Mills model) by Higgs mechanism [13, 14]. Boundary condition for single membrane has been studied by many authors [15] but, there is few works for multiple membranes [5, 16, 17]. According to the relation between Nahm and Basu-Harvey equations there might be a relationship between BLG model and string theory by considering boundary condition for BLG model from which Basu-Harvey equation is obtained and doing the same for multiple D1-string ending D3-brane from which Nahm equation is obtained. It

is possible to obtain Nahm equation from Basu-Harvey equation by Lorentzian BLG theory but the inverse is impossible. However, it seems that for the special examples the inverse is possible by employing 3-Lie bialgebra and Lie-bialgebra and one to one correspondence between them.

Outline of the paper is as follows. By using the definition of 3-Lie bialgebra which recently given in Ref.[18], we have considered an especial example and showed that there is a corresponding between this 3-Lie bialgebra and Lie bialgebra. Also, we have constructed BLG model on the Manin triple of this especial 3-Lie bialgebra and obtained Basu-Harvey equation from it and showed that the Nahm equation can be obtained from it and vice versa.

By remembering of definition of Lie bialgebra [19] (see for a review Refs. [20–23]) we give a short review on the definition of 3-Lie bialgebra [18].

Definition: [18] 3-Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with the co commutator map $\delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is a *3-Lie bialgebra* if:

a) δ is a 1-cocycle of $\mathcal{A}$ with value in $\otimes^3\mathcal{A}$, i.e:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta([T_a,T_b,T_c]) &= ad^{(3)}{}_{T_b\otimes T_c}\delta(T_a) - ad^{(3)}{}_{T_a\otimes T_c}\delta(T_b)\\ &+ ad^{(3)}{}_{T_a\otimes T_b}\delta(T_c),\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where

$$ad^{(3)}{}_{T_b\otimes T_c} = ad_{T_b\otimes T_c}\otimes 1\otimes 1 + 1\otimes ad_{T_b\otimes T_c}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes 1\otimes ad_{T_b\otimes T_c}, \tag{6}$$

and $\{T_a\}$s are bases of 3-Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and we have $ad_{T_a\otimes T_b}T_c = [T_a, T_b, T_c]$, [20].

b) the dual map ${}^t\delta : \otimes^3\mathcal{A}^* \to \mathcal{A}^*$ is a 3-Lie bracket on $\mathcal{A}^*$ (dual space of $\mathcal{A}$) with

$$(\tilde{T}^a\otimes\tilde{T}^b\otimes\tilde{T}^c, \delta(T_d)) = ({}^t\delta(\tilde{T}^a\otimes\tilde{T}^b\otimes\tilde{T}^c), T_d) = ([\tilde{T}^a,\tilde{T}^b,\tilde{T}^c], T_d), \tag{7}$$

such that it satisfies the fundamental identity. In the above relation $\{\tilde{T}^a\}$ is the base for the dual space $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $(\ ,\ )$ is a natural pairing between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$. In this way $\mathcal{A}^*$ constructs a 3-Lie algebra. The 3-Lie bialgebra can be shown either by $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ or $(\mathcal{A}, \delta)$.

Definition: [18] The Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ is a triple of 3-Lie algebras $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ so that there is a non-degenerate, symmetric and ad-invariant metric on $\mathcal{D}$ (Drinfeld double algebra) with the properties[27]:

a) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$ are 3-Lie subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}$,

b) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A}^*$ as a vector space,

c) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$ are isotropic, i.e.

$$(T_a, \tilde{T}^b) = \delta^b_a, \qquad (T_a, T_b) = (\tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b) = 0.$$

Using the fundamental identity (5), equation (7) and relation $\delta(T_a) = \tilde{f}^{bcd}{}_a T_b \otimes T_c \otimes T_d$, the fundamental and mix fundamental identities for the three Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ obtain in terms of structure constant (of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$) $f_{abc}{}^d$ and $\tilde{f}^{abc}{}_d$ as follows [18]:

$$f_{aef}{}^g f_{bcdg} - f_{bef}{}^g f_{acdg} + f_{cef}{}^g f_{abdg} - f_{def}{}^g f_{abcg} = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\tilde{f}^{aef}{}_g \tilde{f}^{bcdg} - \tilde{f}^{bef}{}_g \tilde{f}^{acdg} + \tilde{f}^{cef}{}_g \tilde{f}^{abdg} - \tilde{f}^{def}{}_g \tilde{f}^{abcg} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{abc}{}^g \tilde{f}^{def}{}_g &= f_{gbc}{}^f \tilde{f}^{deg}{}_a + f_{gbc}{}^e \tilde{f}^{dfg}{}_a - f_{gbc}{}^d \tilde{f}^{efg}{}_a \\ &- f_{gac}{}^d \tilde{f}^{efg}{}_b + f_{gab}{}^f \tilde{f}^{deg}{}_c - f_{gab}{}^e \tilde{f}^{dfg}{}_c \\ &- f_{gac}{}^f \tilde{f}^{deg}{}_b + f_{gac}{}^e \tilde{f}^{dfg}{}_b + f_{gab}{}^d \tilde{f}^{efg}{}_c. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, we will consider an especial example 3-Lie bialgebra related to 3-Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}$ and Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. The 3-Lie algebras $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}$ (mentioned in [13] for a first time) have commutation relations as follows:

$$[T_-, T_a, T_b] = 0, [T_+, T_i, T_j] = f_{ij}{}^k T_k, [T_i, T_j, T_k] = f_{ijk} T_- \tag{11}$$

where $\{T_i\}$s are basis of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ ($[T_i, T_j] = f_{ij}{}^k T^k$ with $i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., dim\mathcal{G}$) and $f_{ij}{}^k$ is its structure constant[28]. Furthermore, T_- and T_+ are new generators and we have $a = +, -, i$. Now we propose that there exists a 3-Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*}$ with similar commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned}[\tilde{T}^-, \tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b] = 0, [\tilde{T}^+, \tilde{T}^i, \tilde{T}^j] &= \tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k \tilde{T}^k,\\ [\tilde{T}^i, \tilde{T}^j, \tilde{T}^k] &= \tilde{f}^{ijk} T^-,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

such that $\mathcal{G}^*([\tilde{T}^i, \tilde{T}^j] = \tilde{f}^{ij}{}_k \tilde{T}^k$ with $i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., dim\mathcal{G}^*)$ is a Lie algebra.

Proposition: *$(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*})$ is a 3-Lie bialgebra and the structure constants $f^{abc}{}_d$ and $\tilde{f}_{abc}{}^d$ (details of which are given in the following) satisfy the relation (10) if and only if $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ is Lie bialgebra, i.e. $\mathcal{G}^*$ is a dual Lie algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., their structure constants $f^{ij}{}_k$ and $\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k$ satisfy the following Jacobi and mixed Jacobi identities [19, 23]*

$$f^{ij}{}_k f^{kl}{}_m - f^{ik}{}_m f^{jl}{}_k + f^{jk}{}_m f^{il}{}_k = 0, \tag{13}$$

$$\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k \tilde{f}_{kl}{}^m - \tilde{f}_{ik}{}^m \tilde{f}_{jl}{}^k + \tilde{f}_{jk}{}^m \tilde{f}_{il}{}^k = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -f^{ij}{}_k \tilde{f}_{lm}{}^k + f^{ik}{}_l \tilde{f}_{km}{}^j - f^{jk}{}_m \tilde{f}_{lk}{}^i - f^{jk}{}_l \tilde{f}_{km}{}^i \\ + f^{ik}{}_m \tilde{f}_{lk}{}^j = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Proof: By expanding the indices $g = i, +, -$ in two sides of the relation (10) and using the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{+ij}{}_k &= f^{ij}{}_k, f^{ijk}{}_- = f^{ijk}, f^{-ab}{}_c = 0, f^{abc}{}_+ = 0, \\ \tilde{f}_{+ij}{}^k &= \tilde{f}_{ij}{}^k, \tilde{f}_{ijk}{}^- = \tilde{f}_{ijk}, \tilde{f}_{-ab}{}^c = 0, \tilde{f}_{abc}{}^+ = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and by taking $, a \equiv i, b \equiv j, d \equiv l, e \equiv m, c = f \equiv k$, we arrive at (15), in this way we see that $(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*})$ is a 3-Lie bialgebra if and only if $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ is a Lie bialgebra, i.e., $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*}$. One can consider the Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}^*{}_{\mathcal{G}^*})$ for this example, i.e. for this example $\mathcal{D}$ is a 3-Lie algebra.

We have constructed and shown that there is a one to one correspondence between this special 3-Lie bialgebra and Lie bialgebra, i.e. for an special example 3-Lie bialgebra has constructed by Lie bialgebra. Bagger, Lambert

and Gustavsson [8–10] have used 3-Lie algebra instead of Lie algebra for describing multiple M2-branes (BLG model). We construct the BLG theory on the Manin triple $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. we use 3-Lie bialgebra for describing multiple M2-brane. Note that for the Manin triple $\mathcal{D}$ we apply the symbol $F^{ABC}{}_D$ for the structure constant of the Manin triple as a $(4+2dim\mathcal{G})$ dimensional 3-Lie algebra. That is, we have $\{T^A\}$ as a basis for the Manin triple with $A=i$, $T^i=T^i$ and $A=i+dim\mathcal{G}+2$, $T^{i+dim\mathcal{G}+2}=\tilde{T}^i$ and $A=-+dim\mathcal{G}+2$, $T^{-+dim\mathcal{G}+2}=T^{\dot{-}}$ and $A=++dim\mathcal{G}+2$, $T^{++dim\mathcal{G}+2}=T^{\dot{+}}$ together with the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[T^-,T^A,T^B]=0,[T^+,T^i,T^j]=f^{ij}{}_kT^k,[T^+,T^i,T^{\tilde{j}}]=f^{ik}{}_jT^{\tilde{k}},\\
&[T^i,T^j,T^k]=f^{ijk}T^-,[T^{\dot{-}},T^A,T^B]=0,[T^{\dot{+}},T^{\tilde{i}},T^{\tilde{j}}]=\tilde{f}_{ij}{}^kT_{\tilde{k}},\\
&[T^{\dot{+}},T^{\tilde{i}},T^j]=\tilde{f}^{jk}{}_iT^k,[T^{\tilde{i}},T^{\tilde{j}},T^{\tilde{k}}]=\tilde{f}_{ijk}T_{\dot{-}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

As a first step, consider the following supersymmetric transformation [8–10]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta X^I_A &= i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^I\Psi_A,\\
\delta\Psi_A &= D_\mu X^I_A\Gamma^\mu\Gamma_I\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}X^I_BX^J_CX^K_DF^{BCD}{}_A\Gamma_{IJK}\epsilon,\\
\delta(\hat{A}_\mu)^A_B &= i\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma_\mu\Gamma_IX^I_C\Psi_DF^{CDA}{}_B,
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

where $(\hat{A}_\nu)^B_A=F^{CDB}{}_AA_{\nu CD}$, and $I,J,...=1,2,...,8$ and $\mu,\nu,...=0,1,2$ are the world volume coordinate for M2-brane. Γ_I s, X^I and Ψ are Dirac matrices, 3-Lie algebra valued transverse coordinates of M2-brane and Majoiarana spinor (which obey $\Gamma^{012}\Psi=-\Psi$, and correspondingly $\Gamma^{012}\epsilon=\epsilon$, for supersymmetric parameter), respectively; D_μ is covariant derivative and have following form:

$$
D_\mu X^{(I)}_A=\partial_\mu X^{(I)}_A+F^{BCD}{}_AA_{\mu CD}X^{(I)}_B. \tag{19}
$$

We know that the equation of motions for the following Lagrangian of BLG model on Manin triple are nothing except the conditions obtained from closed property of the algebra of supersymmetric transformation. This BLG model has the following form[9, 10]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L=&-\frac{1}{2}D_\mu X^{A(I)}D^\mu X^{(I)}_A+\frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}^A\Gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi_A\\
&+\frac{i}{4}F_{ABCD}\bar{\psi}^B\Gamma^{IJ}X^{C(I)}X^{D(J)}\psi^A\\
&-\frac{1}{12}F_{ABCD}F_{EFG}{}^DX^{A(I)}X^{B(J)}X^{C(K)}X^{E(I)}X^{F(J)}X^{G(K)}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}[F_{ABCD}A_\mu{}^{AB}\partial_\nu A_\lambda{}^{CD}\\
&+\frac{2}{3}F_{AEF}{}^GF_{BCDG}A_\mu{}^{AB}A_\nu{}^{CD}A_\lambda{}^{EF}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

One needs the supercurrent for evaluation of supersymmetric boundary condition which has been obtained previously as follows [24]:

$$
J^\mu=-\bar{\epsilon}D_\nu X^I_A\Gamma^\nu\Gamma^I\Gamma^\mu\Psi^A-\frac{1}{6}\bar{\epsilon}X^I_AX^J_BX^K_CF^{ABCD}\Gamma^{IJK}\Gamma^\mu\Psi_D. \tag{21}
$$

The preserving of maximum supersymmetric boundary condition is a problem that cause zero normal component of the boundary of the supercurrent [17]. Assume that the boundary is in the x^2 direction, so J^2 must be vanished for remaining maximal unbroken supersymmetry:

$$
0=\left(-\bar{\epsilon}D_\nu X^I_A\Gamma^\nu\Gamma^I\Gamma^2\Psi^A-\frac{1}{6}\bar{\epsilon}X^I_AX^J_BX^K_CF^{ABCD}\Gamma^{IJK}\Gamma^2\Psi_d\right)|_{\partial\mathcal{M}}. \tag{22}
$$

Here we will try to solve these equations. Note that the presence of M2-brane breaks the Lorentz invariance of M-theory from $SO(1,10)$ to $SO(1,2)\times SO(8)$ and half of supersymmetry. One can consider that $SO(1,10)$ breaks to $SO(1,2)\times SO(8)\to SO(1,1)\times SO(4)\times SO(4)$ and postulate that $SO(1,1)$ is world sheet of string, one of $SO(4)$ as transverse space for M5-brane and the other transverse space for both of M2-brane and M5-brane [29]. One can decompose the scalar fields X^I as $X^V=\{X^3,X^4,X^5,X^6\}$ corresponding to SO(4) symmetry and $Y^P=\{X^7,X^8,X^9,X^{10}\}$ corresponding to the other $SO(4)$ symmetry. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=&-\bar{\epsilon}D_{\hat{\nu}}X^V_A\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\Gamma^V\tilde{\Psi}^A\\
&-\bar{\epsilon}D_{\hat{\nu}}Y^P_A\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\Gamma^P\tilde{\Psi}^A\\
&-\bar{\epsilon}\left(D_2Y^P_A\Gamma^2\Gamma^P\delta^{DA}+\frac{1}{6}Y^P_AY^Q_BY^R_CF^{ABCD}\Gamma^{PQR}\right)\tilde{\Psi}_D\\
&-\bar{\epsilon}\left(D_2X^V_A\Gamma^2\Gamma^V\delta^{DA}+\frac{1}{6}X^V_AX^U_BX^W_CF^{ABCD}\Gamma^{VUW}\right)\tilde{\Psi}_D\\
&-\bar{\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{2}X^V_AX^U_BY^P_CF^{ABCD}\Gamma^{VUP}\right)\tilde{\Psi}_D\\
&-\bar{\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{2}X^V_AY^P_BY^Q_CF^{ABCD}\Gamma^{APQ}\right)\tilde{\Psi}_D
\end{aligned}
\tag{23}
$$

where $\Gamma_2\Psi_A=\tilde{\Psi}_A$ and $\hat{\mu}=0,1$. In this way, the terms ordered in different lines in terms of their Lorentzian structures and preserving $SO(1,1)\times SO(4)\times SO(4)$ symmetry makes zero each terms in the one line. It must be specifed the type of boundary condition (the Dirichlet or Neumann condition) in order to solve the equations. One way is that we assume half of the scalars to obey Dirichlet conditions [30] $D_{\hat{\mu}}Y^P=0$ and use the simplest solution for it, i.e. $Y^P=0$ then we have the following relations:

$$
0=\bar{\epsilon}D_2Y^P\Gamma^2\Gamma^P\tilde{\Psi}\ , \tag{24}
$$

$$
0=\bar{\epsilon}D_{\hat{\nu}}X^V\Gamma^{\hat{\nu}}\Gamma^V\tilde{\Psi}\ , \tag{25}
$$

$$
0=\bar{\epsilon}\left(D_2X^V_A\delta^{AD}\Gamma^2\Gamma^V+\frac{1}{6}F^{ABCD}X^V_AX^U_BX^W_C\Gamma^{VUW}\right)\tilde{\Psi}_D\ . \tag{26}
$$

Now, the problem turns into solving these equations. For this reason suppose $\frac{1}{2}(1-\Gamma^{013456})\tilde{\Psi}=\mathcal{Q}_-\tilde{\Psi}=0$ or achieve $(1-\Gamma^{013456})\epsilon=\mathcal{Q}_-\epsilon=0$ on the supersymmetric parameter then (25) holds automatically [17]. Using

$\Gamma^V = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{VUWZ}\Gamma^{UWZ}\Gamma^{3456}$ the (26) takes the following relation:

$$0 = \big(D_2 X^Z_A \epsilon^{ZVUW}\delta^{DA} + X^V_A X^U_B X^W_C F^{ABCD}\big)\bar{\epsilon}\Gamma^{VUW}\tilde{\Psi}_D \quad (27)$$

which is the Basu-Harvey type equations by considering scalar fields X^V

$$0 = D_2 X^V_A + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{VUWZ} X^U_B X^W_C X^Z_D F^{BCD}{}_A, \quad (28)$$

we expande it as follows:

$$0 = D_2 X^V_- + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{VUWZ} X^U_B X^W_C X^Z_D F^{BCD}{}_-, \quad (29)$$

$$0 = D_2 X^V_{\tilde{-}} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{VUWZ} X^U_B X^W_C X^Z_D F^{BCD}{}_{\tilde{-}}, \quad (30)$$

$$0 = D_2 X^V_i + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{VUW} X^U_j X^W_k f^{jk}_i + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{VUW} X^{U\tilde{j}} X^W_k \tilde{f}^k_{ij}, \quad (31)$$

$$0 = D_2 X^V_{\tilde{i}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{VUW} X^{U\tilde{j}} X^{W}\tilde{k} \tilde{f}^{\tilde{i}}_{\tilde{j}\tilde{k}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{YM}\epsilon^{AUW} X^{U\tilde{j}} X^W_k f^k_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}. \quad (32)$$

where we have used i, j, k for representation of bases of Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. In this way one can obtain the Nahm equation related to the Lie bialgebra representation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\sigma X^I_A &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^I_{JK} X^J_B X^K_C F^{BC}_A \\ \partial_\sigma X^I_i &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^I_{JK} X^J_j X^K_k f^{jk}_i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^I_{JK} X^{J\tilde{j}} X^K_k \tilde{f}^k_{i\tilde{j}}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $F^{AB}{}_C$ is the structure constant of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{G}$ (the Drinfeld double of Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$) [23] and $A, B, C = i, \tilde{i}$. The Basu-Harvey and Nahm equations have been obtained as BPS bounds for M2-brane and D1-string, respectively. As it has been done by algebraic structure in this work, it can be done inversely, i.e. by this method one can obtain the Nahm equation from the Basu-Harvey equation and vice versa. Now we want to state a reciprocate relation between these equations as BPS bounds i.e. we want to reach from BPS bound for M2-brane to BPS bound for D1-string and vice versa. One can find BPS bound by vanishing supersymmetric transformations of gauge and fermion fields and obtain it for M2-branes ending M5-brane by using BLG Lagrangian just the way BPS bound has been obtained for D1-string ending D3-brane. The BLG Lagrangian (20) regardless of the fermion piece can be rewritten as [7]:

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} D_\mu X^{A(I)} D^\mu X^{(I)}_A - \frac{1}{12} F_{ABCD} F_{EFG}{}^D X^{A(I)} X^{B(J)} X^{C(K)} X^{E(I)} X^{F(J)} X^{G(K)}, \quad (34)$$

and the equivalent indication for the energy can be obtained as follows:

$$E \geq \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{JIKL} Tr(\partial_s X^{(I)}, [X^{(J)}, X^{(K)}, X^{(L)}])$$

i.e. in this way the Basu-Harvey equation is obtained as a BPS bound. But the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E \geq \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{JIKL} Tr(&\partial_s X^{(I)}_+ T^+ + \partial_s X^{(I)}_- T^- + \partial_s X^{(I)}_i T^i \\ &+ \partial_s X^{(I)}_{\tilde{+}} T^{\tilde{+}} + \partial_s X^{(I)}_{\tilde{-}} T^{\tilde{-}} + \partial_s X^{(I)}_{\tilde{i}} T^{\tilde{i}} \\ &, X^{(J)}_+ X^{(K)}_i X^{(L)}_j [T^+, T^i, T^j] + X^{(J)}_+ X^{(K)}_i X^{(L)}_{\tilde{j}} [T^+, T^i, T^{\tilde{j}}] \\ &+ X^{(J)}_{\tilde{+}} X^{(K)}_{\tilde{i}} X^{(L)}_{\tilde{j}} [T^{\tilde{+}}, T^{\tilde{i}}, T^{\tilde{j}}] + X^{(J)}_{\tilde{+}} X^{(K)}_{\tilde{i}} X^{(L)}_j [T^{\tilde{+}}, T^{\tilde{i}}, T^j]) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2g^2_{YM}} \int d\sigma \epsilon_{IJK} \partial_s X^I [X^J, X^K]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

as we have shown that there is relation between Basu-Harvey equation as BPS bound obtained from BLG model for multiple membranes and the Nahm equation as BPS bound obtained from Yang-Mills action for multiple Dp-branes. The Yang-Mills action is a result of expansion of DBI action and it is a result from string action ending Dp-brane and according to the obtained Lie bialgebra structure there might be a relation between DBI action and WZW model by Lie bialgebraic structure i.e, WZW model can be extracted from DBI action. On the boundary, the number of supersymmetry will break from $N = 8$ to $N = (4, 4)$ and we will have a $N = (4, 4)$ WZW model with Lie bialgebra structure. We have shown the WZW model with $N = (4, 4)$ supersymmetry has Lie bialgebra structure which has been constructed on a Lie algebra equipped with one 2-cocycle [25].

Using the concept of 3-Lie bialgebra where studied in [18]; we have obtained Nahm equation from Basu-harvey equation by studying boundary condition of BLG model, the Manin triple $\mathcal{D}$ of 3-Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*})$. In this manner, it seems that the concept of 3-Lie bialgebra is a good idea. One can consider the BLG model on $\mathcal{D}$ 3-Lie algebra and can obtain the $N = (4, 4)$ WZW like model on Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ using the correspondence of 3-Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}^*_{\mathcal{G}^*})$ with Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ and vice versa, i.e. (D2 $\leftrightarrow$ M2). Another problem is the investigation of $N = 6$ BLG model where is superconformal model [12] using 3-Liebniz bialgebra [18] and studying the boundary condition.

We would like to thank M. Akbari-Moghanjoughi for carefully reading the manuscript. This research was supported by a research fund No. 217D4310 of Azarbaijan Shahid Madani university.

* Electronic address: aali@azaruniv.edu
† Electronic address: rezaei-a@azaruniv.edu

[1] J. Polchinski, String theory. Vol. **2**: Superstring theory and beyond; B. Zwiebach, A First Course in String Theory. Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed., 2009.

[2] W. Nahm, Phys. Lett. B**90** (1980) 413.

[3] A. Basu, J. A. Harvey, Nucl. Phys. B**713** (2005) 136 [hep-th/0412310].

[4] J. Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on D-Branes*, [hep-th/9611050].

[5] C.S. Chu and D. J Smith, JHEP **0904** (2009) 097 [arXiv:0901.1847].

[6] N. Copland, *Aspects of M-Theory Brane Interactions and String Theory Symmetries* PH.D thesis,Trinity College, Cambridge, 2008 [arXiv:0707.1317].

[7] A. M. Low, *Aspects of Supersymmetry in Multiple Membrane Theories* PH.D thesis, Queen Mary University of London [arXiv:1012.2707].

[8] J. Bagger, N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. D**75** (2007) 045020 [hep-th/0611108].

[9] A. Gustavsson, Nucl. Phys. B **811** (2009) 66 [arXiv:0709.1260].

[10] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. D**77** (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955]; J. Bagger, N. Lambert, JHEP **02** (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738].

[11] M. Van Raamsdonk, JHEP **05** (2008) 105 [arXiv:0803.3803].

[12] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, J. Maldacena, JHEP **10** (2008) 091 [hep-th/0806.1218]; J. Bagger, N. Lambert, Phys. Rev. D**79** (2009) 025002 [arXiv:0807.0163].

[13] P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo, JHEP **07** (2008) 003 [arXiv:0805.1202].

[14] S. Mukhi, C. Papageorgakis, JHEP **05** (2008) 085 [arXiv:0803.3218]; Y. Pang, T. Wang, Phys. Rev. D**78** (2008) 125007 [arXiv:0807.1444].

[15] P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. B **373** (1996) 68 [hep-th/9512062]; A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. B **383** (1996) 44 [hep-th/9512059]; C. S. Chu and E. Sezgin, JHEP **9712** (1997) 001 [hep-th/9710223]; C. S. Chu, P. S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P. C. West, Phys. Lett. B **429** (1998) 273 [hep-th/9803041].

[16] D.-E. Diaconescu, D-branes, Nucl. Phys. B**503** (1997) 220 [hep-th/9608163]; D.S.Berman, L.C.Tadrowski and D.C.Thompson, Nucl.Phys. B **802** (2008) 106 [arXiv:0803.3611]; D.S.Berman and D.C.Thompson Nucl. Phys. B **820** (2009) 503 [arXiv:0904.0241].

[17] D. S. Berman, M. J.Perry, E. Sezgin, D. C. Thompson, JHEP **1004**(2009)20 [arXiv:0912.3504].

[18] A. Rezaei-Aghdam, L. Sedghi-Ghadim, *3-Leibniz bialgebra (3-Lie bialgebra)* [arXiv:1604.04475].

[19] V. G. Drinfeld, Vol. **1** Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, (1986) 789.

[20] L. Takhtajan, Comm. Math. Phys. **160** (1994), 295.

[21] V. Filippov, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **26** (1985) 126140.

[22] I. Vaisman, Progress in Mathe- matics, BirkhauserBasel, Vol **118** (1994).

[23] Y. K. Schwarzbach, Lecture notes in physics **038**, Springer-Verlag (2004)107.

[24] F. Passerini, JHEP **08** (2008) 062 [arXiv:0806.0363].

[25] M.Aali javanangrouh, A. Rezaei-Aghdam, *" Algebraic Structures of N=(4,4) and N=(8,8) SUSY Sigma Models on Lie groups and SUSY WZW Models"* , [arXiv:1402.5600v1].

[26] D1-string and D2-brane related by T-duality.

[27] Note that in general, the vector space $\mathcal{D}$ is not a 3-Lie algebra [18].

[28] Note that the indices of $f_{ij}{}^{k}$ are lowered and raised by the ad-invariant metric g_{ij} of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

[29] Other way for break Lorentzian symmetry, where we don't describe it here, is $SO(1,2) \times SO(8) \to SO(1,1) \times SO(8)$.

[30] We will not describe here the Neumann boundary condition, for a review see [17].